1	
2	REPORTER'S RECORD
3	VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUME
4	TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. B13637
5	THE STATE OF TEXAS) IN THE DISTRICT COURT
6	vs.) 198TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
7	VERNON LEE TRAVIS III) KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL
10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
11	On the 3rd day of July, 2014, the
12	following proceeding came on to be heard in the
13	above-entitled and numbered cause, before the Honorable
14	Stephen B. Ables, Judge Presiding, held in Kerrville, Kerr
15	County, Texas:
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Paula M. Beaver (830)537-4724

1	APPEARANCES
2	AFF EARANCES
3	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:
3	Mr. Scott F. Monroe SBOT: 14272700
5	198TH DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 402 Clearwater Paseo, Suite 500
6	Kerrville, Texas 78028 Telephone: (830)315-2460
7	rerephone: (630)313-2460
8	
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10	Mr. Gary Churak SBOT: 04245500
11	ATTORNEY AT LAW 14310 Northbrook, Suite 210
12	San Antonio, Texas 78232 Telephone: (210)491-4443
13	rerephone. (210) 131 1110
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Paula M. Beaver

Paula M. Beaver (830)537-4724

1	
2	INDEX
3	July 3, 2014: PAGE
4	Appearances
5	Proceedings 4
6	Court's Ruling 13
7	Court Reporter's Certificate
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
L 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Paula M. Beaver

Paula M. Beaver (830)537-4724

1	THE COURT: This is Cause B13637, The State
2	of Texas versus Vernon Lee Travis. This case was set
3	today on Defendant's Motion For New Trial. I assume,
4	Mr. Churak, that you substituted in for Mr. Brown?
5	MR. CHURAK: I have, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And are you ready to proceed on
7	your motion?
8	MR. CHURAK: We are, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And, Mr. Monroe, is the State
10	ready to proceed?
11	MR. MONROE: Well, Your Honor, the State has
12	filed a written notice of objection. I just don't
13	want to waive anything. We don't believe that the
14	Motion For New Trial filed raises to the level of the
15	Court needing to conduct a hearing on this. I am
16	prepared to argue that. And if the Court after that
17	decides to proceed on some level, then we can address
18	it at that time.
19	THE COURT: Did you give Mr. Churak a copy
20	of
21	MR. MONROE: I did.
22	THE COURT: objections? So I have
23	State's Objections To Evidence Not Supported By
24	Defendant's Motion For New Trial. Mr. Monroe, I had
25	a chance just to glance at it right before you came

in. Mr. Churak, it appears he is raising the issue of no specifics in the motion and motion is not sworn. Do you want to respond to that, Mr. Churak?

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.5

MR. CHURAK: Judge, I believe that a criminal Motion For New Trial does not need to be supported by additional evidence. If we have a hearing on it, we can present the evidence in the court itself on the motion, so that's my position on that.

I was hired last minute. I think I had less than two or three days to file a Motion For New Trial on this case. We just basically got together with the client, put it together. It's my opinion, Judge, that we can present this evidence in the interest of justice in a hearing.

THE COURT: I'm a little concerned that there are no specifics. Down through the years on many occasions we have done Motions For New Trial based on affidavits where the -- if the State was given enough specifics, they could go get an affidavit from the trial counsel or somebody else. I can't tell from the body what is it that you are complaining about.

MR. CHURAK: Yeah. I basically just said ineffective assistance of counsel. I think there is

a couple of issues, Judge. The first is mental 1 2. condition of the client, whether the attorney took 3 any action on that. Also it's my understanding, I 4 just found out today that my client is on medication. 5 And when he testified at the trial, they actually gave him a double dose of medication, which causes 7 him to be spacy that the deputy gave him while he was 8 up on the stand. I just found that out today. 9 I asked him when he came in, "Are you on any medication?" He said, "Well, yeah. I take this 10 medication, this medication, this medication." I 11 12 said, "Well, during the trial when you testified, 1.3 were you on medication?" He said, "Well, they gave 14 me my pills in the morning, the Zoloft and the 15 Viscerine (ph). " And he said, "Then when I was up 16 there testifying, he gave me two Viscerines (ph)." 17 "What did that do to you?" 18 "It made me real spacy. I just went looking up because they never gave me a double dose." 19 20 I just found that out today, Judge, so that 2.1 may be an issue, too. 2.2 THE COURT: Are you planning on calling your 23 client? 24 MR. CHURAK: I plan on calling my client. 25 THE COURT: Okay. I don't think it's

1	sufficient, but I'm going to go ahead and have the
2	hearing and let you make your record. We will
3	proceed on that basis.
4	MR. CHURAK: Thank you, Judge.
5	THE COURT: What would you like to present?
6	MR. CHURAK: Pardon?
7	THE COURT: Would you like to call your
8	client?
9	MR. CHURAK: Yes. I call Vernon Travis.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Travis, would you come up
11	here? You are handcuffed, Mr. Travis, so I'm not
12	going to have you raise your right hand.
13	(The witness was sworn.)
14	THE COURT: All right. Have a seat, please,
15	and tell us your full name.
16	THE WITNESS: Vernon Lee Travis III.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Churak.
18	MR. MONROE: Your Honor, just to make sure I
19	don't waive it, I object to Mr. Travis testifying.
20	There is specific case law that says there must be a
21	supporting affidavit, especially on the grounds of
22	ineffective assistance of counsel. As the Court well
23	knows, there are certain allegations of ineffective
24	assistance of counsel that have been written on
25	extensively. The State has no notice whatsoever as

to any of this, and so I'm just not wanting to waive 1 2. the objection. I think it's inappropriate to put 3 Mr. Travis on the stand without having -- he having furnished an affidavit and we object to him 4 5 testifying. THE COURT: Did you have a chance to look at 7 the authority that Mr. Monroe cited? 8 MR. CHURAK: Judge, I got served with it 9 this morning. And actually, Judge, on ineffective 10 assistance, you know, I'm not, at this point in 11 time -- I mean, that's something that potentially 12 could be raised at a later date if additional 1.3 information is obtained. I'm still investigating, 14 trying to find things out on that. I would like to 15 call Mr. Travis just for the purposes of testifying 16 about the medication he was on that day and whether 17 he was lucid and coherent when he was testifying. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 MR. CHURAK: That would serve to the 20 interest of justice. 2.1 THE COURT: But how would that be in the 2.2 area of ineffective assistance unless his counsel is 23 the one that directed somebody to do this? 24 MR. CHURAK: That's what I'm saying, Judge. At this point in time, I'm -- that's something that I 2.5

just found out today. Now, is it ineffective assistance to let the client continue to testify while under the influence of a narcotic drug, I don't know. I would have to research it and look into it, but I would like to at least put something on the record to that. If I have to come back at a later time with a different motion to present ineffective assistance, I would be prepared, in a better position to do it.

1

2.

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

I got short notice of this hearing too.

Initially we were talking about a hearing around the 15th of July, and Becky called me up and said — this last week, I think, and said, "Hey, our only date is the 3rd." So I'm kind of short-changed on this too.

MR. MONROE: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: I think it's unfair. I'm assuming the State doesn't have a clue what the allegations are going to be since there is nothing set out.

MR. MONROE: The motion has a statement rendered, "Ineffective assistance of counsel." We have no way of preparing for an allegation, and then depending on what the specific allegation is, there are certain prerequisites to even rise to the level

where that can -- if shown, can still be ineffective assistance of counsel. The effect of that at this point, especially based on Mr. Churak's argument about wanting to present evidence on something he just found out today, amounts to amending the motion, which the time requirements have all expired -- and I have case law on that, as well -- to amend this motion. And so the State would renew its objection.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.5

I have two specific cases. They are cited in the brief, but I have them both. One is the case of Jordan versus State, 883 SW 2d, 664. I hand a copy of that to the Court. And the case of King, K-i-n-g, versus State, 29 SW 3d, 556. Both of these are Court of Criminal Appeals cases.

In the Jordan case, if the Court will turn to page 3, and these cases the defense actually filed an affidavit, but the Court said -- and if the Court will look at page 3 in the fourth paragraph, it says, "We further opined," and I'm talking about a previous opinion, "that as a prerequisite to a hearing and as a matter of pleadings, Motions For New Trial must be supported by an affidavit of either the accused or someone else specifically showing the truth of the grounds asserted."

That same following -- holding was made in

I direct the Court's attention in the King case. that case to page 17. And in that case, interestingly enough, again the defendant filed supporting affidavit that basically just contained the statement, "Ineffective assistance of counsel." And in the King case the statement was also made that the judgment was contrary to the law of the evidence, which is the second ground in this motion before you And that's on page 17 of 18, if you will see todav. And it says, "When the accused presents a there. Motion For New Trial raising matters not determined from the record," which these would not be able to be determined from the record, "which would entitle him to relief, the trial judge abuses his discretion in failing to hold a hearing. The motion must, however, be supported by affidavit specifically showing the truth of the grounds of attack. Otherwise, general entitlement to a hearing is a legal fishing expedition."

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

So when you go read the ruling of the Court, they say that the affidavits are not sufficient. In this one we don't even have affidavits. There is another case also supplied in the case Flores versus State. That is 18 SW 3d, 796. If the Court would look on page 4 of 7 under the paragraph marked

"Headnote 3," it says, "Filing affidavits in support of a Motion For New Trial more than 30 days after sentencing is considered an untimely attempt to amend the motion." But it goes on to say, "A Motion For New Trial alleging facts outside the record filed without supporting affidavits is not a proper pleading and is fatally defective. The trial court does not err in refusing to grant a hearing on such motion."

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.5

I think the reason for that is obvious, is that there is no notice. There is no way for the State to do this. We are here at the hearing finding out about what the specifics are. I think it's inappropriate, so the State would object to Mr. Travis' testimony.

THE COURT: His authority and arguments seem pretty persuasive, Mr. Churak.

MR. CHURAK: I don't have any response. I just got it today, Judge. If the Court deems that it's inappropriate to have a hearing on this matter, I would like it on the record, though, that there is certain information that I discovered today that may lead to ineffective assistance of counsel that may be subject to a writ at a later date. I just want that on the record.

THE COURT: I'm going to grant your -- or sustain your objection, and so I'm going to deny the Motion For New Trial based on the fact that the motion itself is defective. I'll make a little note on the docket sheet that the Motion For New Trial has been denied. You can get me a brief order --MR. MONROE: We will do that. THE COURT: -- that it's been denied. MR. CHURAK: Thank you, Judge. (Hearing concluded) 1.3

1	THE STATE OF TEXAS X
2	COUNTY OF KERR X
3	I, PAULA BEAVER, Official Court Reporter
4	in and for the 198th District Court of Kerr County,
5	State of Texas, do hereby certify that the foregoing
6	contains a true and correct transcription of all portions
7	of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by
8	counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of
9	the Reporter's Record, in the above-styled and numbered
10	cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers
11	and were reported by me.
12	I further certify that this Reporter's Record
13	of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the
14	exhibits, if any, admitted, tendered in an offer of proof
15	or offered into evidence.
16	I further certify that the total cost for
17	the preparation of this Reporter's Record is
18	\$84.00and was paid/will be paid byKerr
19	County
20	WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the_17thday of March, A.D., 2015.
21	/s/Paula Beaver
22	PAULA BEAVER, Texas CSR 3593 Expiration Date: 12/31/16
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter 198th District Court
24	P.O. Box 233 Comfort, Texas 78013
25	002020, 201100 ,0020

Paula M. Beaver (830)537-4724